

The Federal Communications Commission

Dear The Federal Communications Commission,

I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! (I am sure that is not news to you!) I urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am concerned that this proposal could make my current service unaffordable.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!

I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share.

I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee. Calling it a "fee" does not change the fact it is a tax.

ASSESSING THIS TAX AT A FLAT RATE PER CUSTOMER MAKES IT AN EXTREMELY HIGHLY REGRESSIVE TAX - which I think no one can effectively justify.

Keep the USF Fair!

Sincerely,

Albert Myers  
1126 Nature Lane  
Union Hall, Virginia 24176